# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## **Open Access**



# Long-term prosthetic-associated subclinical thrombotic events evaluation by cardiac CTA after transcatheter aortic valve implantation: incidence and outcomes

Qijing Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Jiaqi Wen<sup>1</sup>, Qifeng Zhu<sup>2</sup>, Jiaqi Fan<sup>2,3</sup>, Xiaojun Guan<sup>1</sup>, Xinyi Chen<sup>1</sup>, Yuxin He<sup>2</sup>, Yuchao Guo<sup>2</sup>, Jubo Jiang<sup>2</sup>, Xinfa Ding<sup>1</sup>, Zhaoxia Pu<sup>4</sup>, Zhaoxu Huang<sup>4</sup>, Cheng Li<sup>5</sup>, Minming Zhang<sup>1,6</sup>, Xianbao Liu<sup>2,6</sup>, Xiaojun Xu<sup>1,6</sup> and Jian'an Wang<sup>2,6\*</sup>

### Abstract

**Objective** To observe prosthetic-associated subclinical thrombotic events (PASTE) after transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) by cardiac CTA, and assess their impact on long-term patient outcomes.

**Materials** We prospectively and consecutively enrolled 188 patients with severe aortic stenosis treated with TAVI from February 2014 to April 2017. At 5 years, 61 of 141 survived patients who had completed annual follow-up CTA ( $\geq$  5 years) were included. We analyzed PASTE by CTA, including hypoattenuated leaflet thickening (HALT), sinus filling defect (SFD), and prosthesis filling defect (PFD). The primary outcome was a major adverse cardiovascular composite outcome (MACCO) of stroke, cardiac re-hospitalization, and bioprosthetic valve dysfunction (BVD); the secondary outcomes were bioprosthetic hemodynamics deterioration (PGmean) and cardiac dysfunction (LVEF).

**Results** During a median follow-up time of 5.25 years, long-term incidence of HALT, SFD, and PFD were 54.1%, 37.7%, and 73.8%, respectively. In the primary outcome, SFD and early SFD were associated with the MACCO (SFD: p = 0.005; early SFD: p = 0.018), and SFD was a predictor of MACCO (HR: 2.870; 95% CI: 1.010 to 8.154, p = 0.048). In the secondary outcomes, HALT was associated with increased PGmean (p = 0.031), while persistent HALT was correlated with  $\Delta$ PGmean ( $\beta = 0.38$ , p = 0.035). SFD was negatively correlated with  $\Delta$ LVEF ( $\beta = -0.39$ , p = 0.041), and early SFD was negatively correlated with LVEF is r = -0.50, p = 0.041;  $\Delta$ LVEF: r = -0.53, p = 0.030).

**Conclusions** PASTE were associated with adverse long-term outcomes, bioprosthetic hemodynamics deterioration, and cardiac dysfunction. In particular, SFD was a predictor of MACCO and may be a potential target for anticoagulation after TAVI (NCT02803294).

Registration URL: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov; Unique identifier: NCT02803294.

**Critical relevance statement** PASTE, especially SFD, after TAVI based on cardiac CTA findings impacts the long-term outcomes of patients which is a predictor of long-term major adverse outcomes in patients and may be a potential target for anticoagulation after TAVI.

These authors contributed equally: Qijing Zhou, Jiaqi Wen, Qifeng Zhu.

\*Correspondence: Jian'an Wang wangjianan111@zju.edu.cn

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

#### **Key Points**

- Transcatheter aortic valve implantation is being used more often; associated subclinical thromboses have not been thoroughly evaluated.
- Prosthetic-associated subclinical thrombotic events were associated with adverse outcomes, bioprosthetic hemodynamics deterioration, and cardiac dysfunction.
- Studies should be directed at these topics to determine if they should be intervened upon.

**Keywords** Subclinical thrombotic, Transcatheter aortic valve implantation, Computed tomography, Long-term, Outcome

#### **Graphical Abstract**



#### Introduction

Transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) is increasingly used in patients with symptomatic severe aortic stenosis (AS), as it has been proven to be a safe and effective treatment option across the entire spectrum of surgical risk [1–3]. Long-term patient outcomes after TAVI have become increasingly important, especially with the PARTNER 3 and Evolut clinical cohort studies that achieved milestones progress in 2019, it shifts to younger, lower-risk patients with longer life expectancies [4–7].

Prosthesis-associated subclinical thrombotic events (PASTE) after TAVI are an important imaging finding in cardiac computed tomography angiography (CTA) follow-up after TAVI. Previously, studies mainly focused

on subclinical lobular thrombosis (SLT), which was defined as hypoattenuated leaflet thickening (HALT) diagnosed in cardiac CTA [8–10]. It has been shown that it is associated with clinical valve thrombosis, TIA and stroke, structural valve degeneration, or symptomatic hemodynamic valve deterioration [11–15]. However, previous HALT evaluations based on cardiac CTA results were early assessments, with CTA performed 30 days to 1 year after TAVI, while long-term follow-up evaluations of HALT were insufficient.

Moreover, there are two other types of PASTE that can be found on cardiac CT after TAVI, aortic sinus thrombosis and prosthesis thrombus, which can displayed as a hypoattenuated filling defect in the aortic sinus (sinus filling defect, SFD) or inside the mental stent structure of prosthesis (prosthesis filling defect, PFD). These have not received sufficient attention. A few previous studies have noticed the reduced flow with stasis in the primary aortic sinus and the phenomenon of SFD after TAVI, but failed to explore possible effects on long-term clinical outcomes [16]. There have been plenty of previous studies on PFD after SAVR, as it is a cause of hemodynamic change and prosthetic valve dysfunction after SAVR [17–19]. However, the finding of PFD after TAVI and its clinical significance has not been reported. Therefore, a more systematic and comprehensive assessment of PASTE after TAVI and its clinical impact may be required.

In this study, we aimed to observe the incidence of PASTE in the long-term follow-up, including HALT, SFD, and PFD, and evaluate the impact of PASTE on long-term patient outcomes.

#### Methods

#### Study design and patient population

The TORCH (Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement Single Center Registry in Chinese Population) registry (NCT02803294) is a single-center prospective cohort study in the Chinese population. The study was approved by the medical ethics committee and carried out according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided written informed consent for TAVI and the use of anonymous clinical, procedural, and follow-up data for research.

For this study, we consecutively and prospectively collected 188 severe AS patients treated with TAVI from February 2014 to April 2017, who were determined by an interdisciplinary heart team. Since this study was focused on the evaluation of the long-term incidence of PASTE and its impact on patient long-term outcomes, patients who completed long-term annual follow-up and annual cardiac CTA after TAVI were ultimately enrolled in this study. Standard exclusion criteria for cardiac CTA were applied, including severe allergy to iodine-containing contrast material, impaired renal function (creatinine  $\geq$ 1.5 mg/dl), arrhythmia, and severe respiratory or cardiac failure. In addition, all patients received a standard annual follow-up procedure, including cardiac CT and transthoracic echocardiography (TTE), which were performed as a routine annual follow-up.

#### **CT** acquisition

Cardiac CTA was performed on 2nd or 3rd generation dualsource 128-slice or 192-slice CT scanners (SOMATOM Flash and Force, Siemens Healthineers, Forchheim, Germany). It was performed at 100/Sn140 (SOMATOM Flash) or 90/Sn150 (SOMATOM Force). All patients received 60 mL of contrast medium (Lopamiro 370 mgI/mL, Bracco Sine pharmaceutical, Shanghai, China) followed by 40 mL of saline solution, at a flow-rate of 4.5 mL/s. The bolus-tracking technique was used to trigger the start of image acquisition, with ROI placement in the ascending aorta, the ROI threshold was 180 HU. The delay time between reaching the threshold and the start of the cardiac CTA acquisition was 3 s.

#### Image reconstruction

All images were reconstructed with a constant level of iterative reconstruction (SAFIRE 2 on SOMATOM Flash and ADMIRE 2 on SOMATOM Force), with a slice thickness of 0.75 mm and intervals of 0.5 mm. The autobest-phase technique was applied to get a serial of autophase images, and an advanced VMI+ algorithm was used to get a serial of DE blended rendering images. Whole-cycle Images were acquired at 9% R-R intervals across the entire cardiac cycle from 1% to 100%.

#### Analysis of CT data

Cardiac CTA images were analyzed by members from the CVH (China Valve Hangzhou) CoreLab, using 3mensio 10.1 software (3mensio Medical Imaging BV, Bilthoven, Netherlands). Early events were defined as PASTE found within one year after TAVI, while late events were found later than four years after TAVI, and persistent events were defined as found in at least two consecutive annual follow-up cardiac CTA.

#### Leaflet evaluation: HALT

HALT was defined as visually identified increased leaflet thickness with a typical meniscal appearance in at least two different multi-planar reformation projections and present on at least two different reconstruction time intervals [20-23]. The extent of leaflet thickening was graded on long-axis views, carefully aligned with the leaflet center of the transcatheter heart valve regarding involvement along the curvilinear leaflet beginning at the base, a classic 4-tier grading scale was used for scoring [23]: 0: non-HALT; 1: 1-25%; 2: 26–50%; 3: 51–75%; 4: 76–100%.

#### Aortic sinus evaluation: SFD

SFD was defined as a hypoattenuated filling defect in the aortic sinus both in axis and long-axis views of the sinus, in at least two different multi-planar reconstructed images. According to the number of aortic sinuses involved, the SFD was scored on a 3-point scale: 0: non-SFD; 1: SFD with only one sinus involved; 2: SFD with two sinuses involved; 3: SFD with three sinuses involved.

#### Prosthesis evaluation: PFD

PFD is defined as a hypoattenuated filling defect in the metallic support structure of prosthesis both in short-axis and long-axis views of the prosthesis. It must be observed on at least two multi-planar reconstructed images to determine whether there is PFD.

#### Patient outcomes

All the patients underwent standardized annual followups by three trained cardiologists. The primary outcome was a major adverse cardiovascular composite outcome (MACCO), defined as the composite of stroke, cardiac rehospitalization, and bioprosthetic valve dysfunction (BVD), BVD was defined as transvalvular mean pressure gradient (PGmean)  $\geq$  20 mmHg [8]. The secondary outcomes, which included bioprosthetic hemodynamics deterioration and cardiac dysfunction, were assessed with TTE by two senior echocardiologists, using Phillips IE33 and EPIQ 7 C system (Philips Electronics Ltd., Eindhoven, The Netherlands), following the American Society of Echocardiography standards for echocardiography core laboratories [24]. We qualitatively and quantitatively assessed bioprosthetic hemodynamics deterioration with long-term PGmean and  $\Delta$ PGmean, and assessed cardiac dysfunction with long-term LVEF and  $\Delta$ LVEF. All the trained cardiologists and senior echocardiologists were blinded to cardiac CTA imaging data.

#### Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 26.0 in the study cohort overall according to subclinical thrombotic events. The continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney test. The frequencies were compared using the chi-square test when the frequency of each group was equal to or greater than 5. Fisher's precision probability test was used when a set of frequencies was less than 5. To explore the relationship between PASTE, Mann-Whitney test was used to analyze the effects of PFD on the scores of thrombotic events in the leaflet and sinus. Fisher's precision probability test was used to analyze the relationship between persistent PASTE in the aortic sinus and leaflet. Spearman correlation was used to explore the relationship between the scores of SFD and HALT. To explore the relationship between PASTE and long-term prognosis, we first used univariate analysis (Mann-Whitney test for continuous variables, Chi-square test for categorical variables) to explore the effect of PASTE on long-term prognosis. Then, we used Kaplan–Meier curves to assess the survival probability for the PASTE since TAVR, and the MACCO from PASTE. We then ran a survival analysis using Univariable and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression to assess the relationship between risk factors and PASTE, and whether PASTE was related to the risk of the MACCO. A multivariate linear regression model was then used to analyze the effect of PASTE and its persistence on long-term prognosis by regressing out gender, age, BMI, D-dimer, and valve type. Finally, the relationship between early PASTE and long-term prognosis was analyzed by performing Spearman correlation in continuous variables, while chi-square test was used in categorical variables. In all analyses, p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### Results

Between February 2014 and April 2017, 188 consecutive patients were treated with TAVI in our institution, among which 141 patients (75.0%) were confirmed to have survived for more than 5 years at annual follow-up, 44 patients (23.4%) died, and 3 patients (1.6%) were lost to follow-up. To evaluate long-term PASTE after TAVI, 61 (43.3%) patients who completed long-term annual cardiac CTA follow-up ( $\geq$  5 years) were included in the study (Fig. 1). The other patients failed to complete annual cardiac CTA due to denial of repeat CTA, renal failure, telephone follow-up as an inability to come to the hospital due to some reason such as too far away from the hospital, inconvenient to walk, or epidemic control of COVID-19. The baseline and procedural characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1.

# Prosthetic-associated subclinical thrombotic events (PASTE)

During the long-term follow-up by cardiac CTA, we found three types of PASTE, including HALT, SFD, and PFD (Fig. 2). The global view of PASTE is presented in Table 2. Over more than 5 years of long-term follow-up, the cumulative event incidence of HALT, SFD, and PFD were 54.1%, 37.7%, and 73.8% respectively, while early events (within one year) incidence was 24.6%, 27.9%, and 54.1% respectively, late events (later than four years) incidence was 19.7%, 6.6%, and 4.9% respectively, and persistent events (found in at least two consecutive annual follow-up) incidence was 18.0%, 29.5%, and 63.9%, respectively.

#### Leaflet evaluation: HALT

On 50 Leaflets of 33 (54.1%) patients, HALT was found through the whole long-term follow-up, of which 11 (18.0%) of them (11/33, 33.3%) were persistent HALT, 15 (24.6%) were early HALT (15/33, 45.5%) and 12 (19.7%) were late HALT (12/33, 36.4%). The average scores of HALT are higher in early HALT (3.80 ± 1.90) than in late HALT (1.92 ± 1.26), while persistent HALT (3.91 ± 2.27) has the highest score. Some examples of HALT scores are shown in Fig. 3.

#### Aortic sinus evaluation: SFD

In 34 sinuses of 23 (37.7%) patients, SFD was found throughout the whole follow-up, of which non-coronary



Page 5 of 13



Fig. 1 Study flow-chart. TAVI, transcatheter aortic valve implantation; PASTE, Prosthetic-associated subclinical thrombotic events; HALT, hypoattenuated leaflet thickening; SFD, sinus filling defect; PFD, prosthesis filling defect; BVD, bioprosthetic valve dysfunction; PGmean, mean transvalvular pressure gradient; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction

sinus involvement was found in 15 patients (65.2%). Leftcoronary sinus involvement in 8 patients (34.8) and rightcoronary sinus involvement in 11 patients (47.8%). 17 (27.9%) were early SFD (17/23, 73.9%) and 4 (6.6%) were late SFD (4/23, 17.4%), while 18 (29.5%) patients (18/ 23, 78.3%) were persistent SFD (Fig. 3).

#### Prosthesis evaluation: PFD

PFD (Fig. 4) was found in 45 (73.8%) patients through the whole cardiac CTA follow-up, 33 (54.1%) of them were early PFD (33/45, 73.3%), 3 (4.9%) were late PFD (3/45, 6.7%), while 39 (63.9%) were persistent PFD (39/45, 86.7%).

In the analysis of risk factors for PASTE, previous PCI (hazard ratio [HR]: 2.450; 95% CI: 1.046 to 5.740, p = 0.039), D-dimer (HR: 1.000; 95% CI: 1.000 to 1.000, p = 0.025), and implanted mechanical-expandable valve (HR: 2.417; 95% CI: 1.078 to 5.418, p = 0.032) might be risk factors for HALT in univariable analysis (See in Table S1). On adjusted multivariable analysis, BMI (HR: 1.124; 95% CI: 1.012 to 1.249, p = 0.030), Previous PCI (HR: 3.932; 95% CI: 1.563 to 9.894, p = 0.004), D-dimer (HR: 1.000; 95% CI: 1.000 to 1.000, p = 0.005), and implanted mechanical-expandable valve (HR: 3.126; 95% CI: 1.316 to 7.425, p = 0.010) might be risk factors for HALT (See in Table S2).

#### **Relationship between PASTE**

SFD was significantly positively correlated with HALT (r = 0.34, p = 0.008), while PFD was not related to HALT (p = 0.550) and SFD (p = 0.435). In persistent PASTE, persistent HALT was significantly positively correlated with persistent SFD (p < 0.001).

#### Patients outcomes

During the long-term follow-up at a median time of 63 months (IQR 60–73 months), MACCO occurred in 21 (34.4%) patients, of which 7 (11.5%) patients with stroke, 15 (24.6%) patients with cardiac re-hospitalization, and 4 (6.6%) patients with BVD, long-term primary and secondary outcomes were presented in Table 3.

In the primary outcome, SFD and early SFD were significantly associated with the MACCO of stroke, cardiac re-hospitalization, and BVD (SFD: p = 0.005; early SFD: p = 0.018). Kaplan-Meier analysis also suggested that SFD (p = 0.020) was associated with a higher incidence of the MACCO (Fig. 5). Multivariate analysis of Cox proportional hazards regression suggested that SFD (HR: 2.870; 95% CI: 1.010 to 8.154, p = 0.048) was a predictor for the MACCO (Table 4).

In the secondary outcomes, our study found HALT was significantly associated with increased PGmean

Page 6 of 13

| Variable                           | Al I patients<br>(n = 61) | non-HALT<br>( <i>n</i> = 28) | HALT<br>( <i>n</i> = 33) | P <sub>1</sub> | non-SFD<br>( <i>n</i> = 38) | SFD<br>(n = 23) | P <sub>2</sub> | non-PFD<br>( <i>n</i> = 16) | PFD<br>(n = 45) | P <sub>3</sub> |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Age, y                             | 74 [8]                    | 73 [8]                       | 75 [10]                  | 0.566          | 73 [8]                      | 76 [7]          | 0.095          | 73 [7]                      | 75 [9]          | 0.786          |
| Sex, n (%)                         |                           |                              |                          | 0.141          |                             |                 | 0.409          |                             |                 | 0.333          |
| Female                             | 28 (45.9)                 | 10 (35.7)                    | 18 (54.5)                |                | 19 (50.0)                   | 9 (39.1)        |                | 9 (56.3)                    | 19 (42.2)       |                |
| Male                               | 33 (54.1)                 | 18 (64.3)                    | 15 (45.5)                |                | 19 (50.0)                   | 14 (60.9)       |                | 7 (43.8)                    | 26 (57.8)       |                |
| BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>             | 23.50 [4.50]              | 21.85 [3.65]                 | 24.00 [4.00]             | 0.030*         | 23.45 [4.18]                | 23.50 [5.40]    | 0.829          | 23.75 [3.27]                | 23.30<br>[4.80] | 0.577          |
| STS score, %                       | 4.42 (3.87)               | 4.44 (2.75)                  | 4.3 (5.61)               | 0.439          | 4.44 (3.79)                 | 4.30 (3.63)     | 0.623          | 3.07 (3.38)                 | 4.43 (3.55)     | 0.115          |
| NYHA Class                         | 3 [1]                     | 3 [1]                        | 3 [1]                    | 0.260          | 3 [1]                       | 3 [1]           | 0.576          | 3 [1]                       | 3 [1]           | 0.243          |
| Hypertension, n (%)                | 36 (59)                   | 14 (50)                      | 22 (66.7)                | 0.187          | 22 (57.9)                   | 14 (60.9)       | 0.819          | 10 (62.5)                   | 26 (57.8)       | 0.741          |
| Hypercholesterolemia,              | 20 (32.8)                 | 8 (28.6)                     | 12 (36.4)                | 0.518          | 12 (31.6)                   | 8 (34.8)        | 0.796          | 5 (31.3)                    | 15 (33.3)       | 0.879          |
| n (%)                              |                           |                              |                          |                |                             |                 |                |                             |                 |                |
| Diabetes, n (%)                    | 12 (19.7)                 | 5 (17.9)                     | 7 (21.2)                 | 0.743          | 10 (26.3)                   | 2 (8.7)         | 0.111          | 4 (25.0)                    | 8 (17.8)        | 0.715          |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%)         | 8 (13.1)                  | 4 (14.3)                     | 4 (12.1)                 | 1.000          | 5 (13.2)                    | 3 (13.0)        | 1.000          | 3 (18.8)                    | 5 (11.1)        | 0.422          |
| Angina, <i>n</i> (%)               | 8 (13.1)                  | 3 (10.7)                     | 5 (15.2)                 | 0.715          | 4 (10.5)                    | 4 (17.4)        | 0.461          | 4 (25.0)                    | 4 (8.9)         | 0.189          |
| Previous PCI, n (%)                | 9 (14.8)                  | 2 (7.1)                      | 7 (21.2)                 | 0.160          | 6 (15.8)                    | 3 (13.0)        | 1.000          | 1 (6.3)                     | 8 (17.8)        | 0.423          |
| D-dimer, µg/L                      | 430 [390]                 | 425 [263]                    | 480 [540]                | 0.144          | 475 [373]                   | 390 [490]       | 0.448          | 355 [205]                   | 480 [455]       | 0.027*         |
| LVEF, %                            | 57.1 (23.9)               | 56.9 (25.7)                  | 59.6 (24.6)              | 0.208          | 58.8 (24.2)                 | 55.1 (21.1)     | 0.958          | 62.9 (13.8)                 | 54.3 (22.8)     | 0.053          |
| Aortic valve area, cm <sup>2</sup> | 0.58 [0.25]               | 0.58 [0.25]                  | 0.58 [0.24]              | 0.931          | 0.59 [0.24]                 | 0.57 [0.27]     | 0.743          | 0.59 [0.20]                 | 0.57 [0.27]     | 0.749          |
| Aortic valve velocity,             | 4.8 [1.05]                | 4.97 [1.08]                  | 4.77 [0.96]              | 0.405          | 4.80 [0.94]                 | 4.85 [1.32]     | 0.783          | 5.17 [0.82]                 | 4.77 [1.06]     | 0.051          |
| m/s                                |                           |                              |                          |                |                             |                 |                |                             |                 |                |
| Mean gradient, mmHg                | 54.0 [23.5]               | 60.0 [26.0]                  | 52.0 [20.0]              | 0.250          | 53.5 [21.3]                 | 57.0 [27.0]     | 0.688          | 64.5 [18.5]                 | 52.0 [22.5]     | 0.079          |
| Aortic valve type, $n$ (%)         |                           |                              |                          | 0.472          |                             |                 | 1.000          |                             |                 | 0.833          |
| TAV                                | 35 (57.4)                 | 18 (64.3)                    | 17 (51.5)                |                | 21 (55.3)                   | 14 (60.9)       |                | 10 (62.5)                   | 25 (55.6)       |                |
| BAV-type0                          | 16 (26.2)                 | 6 (21.4)                     | 10 (30.3)                |                | 10 (26.3)                   | 6 (26.1)        |                | 3 (18.75)                   | 13 (28.9)       |                |
| BAV-type1                          | 9 (14.8)                  | 3 (10.7)                     | 6 (18.2)                 |                | 6 (15.8)                    | 3 (13.0)        |                | 3 (18.75)                   | 6 (13.3)        |                |
| Unknown                            | 1 (1.6)                   | 1 (3.6)                      | 0 (0.0)                  |                | 1 (2.6)                     | 0 (0.0)         |                | 0 (0.0)                     | 1 (2.2)         |                |
| Aortic valve                       | 1029.4 [1064.9]           | 1192.6 [1234.4]              | 832.5                    | 0.179          | 873.5 [924.0]               | 1130.4          | 0.698          | 1063.1 [832.8]              | 991.6           | 0.763          |
| calcification, mm <sup>3</sup>     |                           |                              | [685.3]                  |                |                             | [1234.4]        |                |                             | [1236.3]        |                |
| Valve-in-valve, n (%)              | 5 (8.2)                   | 4 (14.3)                     | 1 (3.0)                  | 0.170          | 3 (7.9)                     | 2 (8.7)         | 1.000          | 1 (6.3)                     | 4 (8.9)         | 1.000          |
| Implanted Valve type,              |                           |                              |                          | 0.031*         |                             |                 | 0.718          |                             |                 | 0.686          |
| n (%)                              |                           |                              |                          |                |                             |                 |                |                             |                 |                |
| Self-expandable                    | 52 (85.2)                 | 27 (96.4)                    | 25 (75.8)                |                | 33 (86.8)                   | 19 (82.6)       |                | 13 (81.25)                  | 39 (86.7)       |                |
| Mechanical-                        | 9 (14.8)                  | 1 (3.6)                      | 8 (24.2)                 |                | 5 (13.2)                    | 4 (17.4)        |                | 3 (18.75)                   | 6 (13.3)        |                |
| expandable                         |                           |                              |                          |                |                             |                 |                |                             |                 |                |
| Prosthesis size, n (%)             |                           |                              |                          | 0.409          |                             |                 | 0.283          |                             |                 | 0.342          |
| Small (21–24 mm)                   | 13 (21.3)                 | 6 (21.4)                     | 7 (21.2)                 |                | 6 (15.8)                    | 7 (30.4)        |                | 2 (12.5)                    | 11 (24.4)       |                |
| Medium                             | 31 (50.8)                 | 12 (42.9)                    | 19 (57.6)                |                | 22 (57.9)                   | 9 (39.1)        |                | 11 (68.8)                   | 20 (44.4)       |                |
| (25–28 mm)                         |                           |                              |                          |                |                             |                 |                |                             |                 |                |
| Large (29–32 mm)                   | 17 (27.9)                 | 10 (35.7)                    | 7 (21.2)                 |                | 10 (26.3)                   | 7 (30.4)        |                | 3 (18.8)                    | 14 (31.1)       |                |
| Postdilatation, n (%)              | 23 (37.7)                 | 13 (46.4)                    | 10 (30.3)                | 0.195          | 13 (34.2)                   | 10 (43.5)       | 0.469          | 4 (25.0)                    | 19 (42.2)       | 0.250          |

 Table 1
 Clinical and procedural characteristics

Values are median with interquartile range unless otherwise specified. P1 showed the difference between non-HALT and HALT. P2 showed the difference between non-SFD and SFD. P3 showed the difference between non-PFD and PFD

Solf-expandable Valve including CoreValue, Venus A, Microport; Mechanical-expandable Valve including Lotus; Unknown means Previous SAVR NYHA New York Heart Association, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, HALT hypoattenuating leaflet thickening, SFD sinus filling defect, PFD prosthesis filling defect, STS Society of Thoracic Surgeons, LVEF left ventricular ejection fraction, BMI body mass index, TAV three-leafed aortic valve, BAV bicuspid aortic valve, AVC aortic valve calcification, PCI percutaneous coronary intervention, CABG coronary artery bypass grafting \*p < 0.05 (p = 0.031). In multivariate linear regression analysis adjusted for gender, age, and clinical characteristics that differed between groups (BMI, D-dimer, and planted valve type), long-term  $\Delta$ PGmean was significantly correlated with persistent HALT ( $\beta = 0.38$ , p = 0.035), while longterm  $\Delta$ LVEF was significantly negatively correlated with SFD ( $\beta = -0.39$ , p = 0.041) (Table 5). Furthermore, early SFD was significantly negatively correlated with long-term LVEF (r = -0.50, p = 0.041) and long-term  $\Delta$ LVEF (r = -0.53, p = 0.030).

#### Discussion

The main findings of our study are as follows: (1) There were three types of PASTE found in cardiac CTA after TAVI, including HALT, SFD, and PFD, cumulative event rates of them over 5 years long-term follow-up were 54.1%, 37.7%, and 73.8%, respectively. Among them, SFD showed a significant positive correlation with HALT (p = 0.008). (2) In the primary outcome, SFD and early



**PASTE** incidence

**Fig. 2** PASTE in cardiac CTA. Three types of PASTE are found in cardiac CTA after TAVI, including HALT (yellow bar), SFD (blue bar), and PFD (red bar). PASTE, Prosthetic-associated subclinical thrombotic events; HALT, hypoattenuated leaflet thickening; SFD, sinus filling defect; PFD, prosthesis filling defect

#### Table 2 Global view of PASTE

SFD were significantly associated with the MACCO of stroke, cardiac re-hospitalization, and BVD (SFD: p = 0.005; early SFD: p = 0.018), and SFD was a major predictor of the MACCO (HR: 2.870; 95% CI: 1.010 to 8.154, p = 0.048). (3) In the secondary outcomes, HALT was significantly associated with increased PGmean (p = 0.031), while persistent HALT was significantly correlated with long-term  $\triangle PG$  mean ( $\beta = 0.38$ , p = 0.035), which implied that HALT was associated with long-term hemodynamic deterioration in patients after TAVI. On the other hand, SFD was significantly negatively correlated with  $\Delta$ LVEF ( $\beta = -0.39$ , p = 0.041), which implied that SFD was associated with long-term cardiac dysfunction. Moreover, early SFD was significantly negatively correlated with LVEF and  $\Delta$ LVEF (LVEF: r = -0.50, p = 0.041;  $\Delta$ LVEF: r = -0.53, p = 0.030).

Over the last few years, it has been recognized that transcatheter heart valve thrombosis is often underdiagnosed and may be a potential cause of valvular dysfunction [25], while HALT was considered to be the first stage of structural valve degeneration, as it may progress in severity to clinical valve thrombosis and cause significant leaflet dysfunction, which typically leads to recurrent symptoms of aortic stenosis [26]. In the PARTNER 3 and Evolut randomized trials, the incidence of HALT in cardiac CTA after TAVI or SAVR varied from 10-16% at 30 days and increased to 24-30% at 1 year [8, 23, 27, 28], which is described as hypoattenuated leaflet thickening (HALT) in cardiac CTA. In our study, the incidence of early HALT (within 1 year after TAVI) was 24.6%, which was consistent with the previous study [8, 9]. In the long-term follow-up of our study, the incidence of HALT was 54.1%, which means that there are still many HALT events occurring one year after TAVI. However, whether these HALT, which occur one year after TAVI would have some impacts on the longterm clinical outcome of these patients needs to be verified with more multicenter and prospective data. A 3-year

| PASTE                           | Leaflet evaluation: | Aortic sinus evaluation: | Prosthesis evaluation |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
|                                 | HALT                | SFD                      | PFD                   |  |  |
| Non-events, <i>n</i> (%)        | 28 (45.9)           | 38 (62.3)                | 16 (26.2)             |  |  |
| Events, n (%)                   | 33 (54.1)           | 23 (37.7)                | 45 (73.8)             |  |  |
| Events score                    | 1 [3]               | 0 [1]                    | /                     |  |  |
| Early events, $n$ (%)           | 15 (24.6)           | 17 (27.9)                | 33 (54.1)             |  |  |
| Later events, n (%)             | 12 (19.7)           | 4 (6.6)                  | 3 (4.9)               |  |  |
| Occurrence time (y)             | 2 [4]               | 1 [2]                    | 1 [1]                 |  |  |
| Persistent events, <i>n</i> (%) | 11 (18.0)           | 18 (29.5)                | 39 (63.9)             |  |  |

Values are median with interquartile range unless otherwise specified. Persistent events were defined as PASTE that were found in at least two consecutive annual follow-up cardiac CTA, early events were found within one year after TAVI, and later events were found later than four years after TAVI *PASTE* prosthetic-associated subclinical thrombotic events, *HALT* hypoattenuated leaflet thickening, *SFD* sinus filling defect, *PFD* prosthesis filling defect, *TAVI* transcatheter aortic valve implantation



Fig. 3 HALT score 2–3 and persistent SFD: **a** The non-coronary leaflet thickening (yellow arrow) on the short-axis view in 5-year follow-up cardiac CTA after TAVI, (**b**) The leaflet was hypoattenuated thickening confined to half of the leaflet (yellow arrow) on the long-axis view, and SFD was found in the non-coronary sinus (blue arrow), (**c**) thickening of the leaflet (yellow arrow) on VRT reconstruction image. **d**, **e** The non-coronary leaflet thickening increased to about 3/4 of the leaflet (yellow arrow) in the 6-year CTA, SFD was also progressed (blue arrow). **f** Leaflet thickening is more obvious (yellow arrow) in the 6-year CTA

follow-up study showed that HALT was associated with symptomatic hemodynamic valve deterioration [26]. Our study found that HALT was significantly associated with increased PGmean after TAVI (p = 0.031), and persistent HALT was significantly associated with long-term  $\Delta$ PGmean ( $\beta = 0.38$ , p = 0.035), which also demonstrated that HALT was associated with long-term hemodynamic deterioration in patients after TAVI. Moreover, in the analysis of risk factor predictor of PASTE, we found that BMI (HR: 1.124; 95% CI: 1.012 to 1.249, p = 0.030), Previous PCI (HR: 3.932; 95% CI: 1.563 to 9.894, *p* = 0.004), D-dimer (HR: 1.000; 95% CI: 1.000 to 1.000, p = 0.005), and implanted mechanical-expandable valve (HR: 3.126; 95% CI: 1.316 to 7.425, p = 0.010) might be the high-risk factors for HALT. In the future, large-sample cohort studies can be conducted based on these high-risk factors to further evaluate their impact on HALT, and their possible mechanisms, to reduce the incidence of HALT and improve the prognosis of patients after TAVI.

In our study, we found SFD to be another PASTE that deserves great attention. It was associated with the long-term MACCO of stroke, cardiac re-hospitalization, and BVD (p = 0.005), and was the most important predictor of the long-term MACCO (HR: 2.870; 95% CI: 1.010 to 8.154, p = 0.048). SFD was also associated with long-term cardiac dysfunction of reduced LVEF ( $\beta = -0.39$ , p = 0.041) in patients after TAVI. In addition, that SFD was associated with HALT (p = 0.008). Therefore, SFD

may be a potential target for initiating anticoagulant treatment after TAVI. Similar to previous findings, this study showed that SFD was mostly located at the bottom of the sinuses and extended upward toward the sinotubular junction [16]. As we know, TAVI is implanted with a prosthesis, which is a net-like metal stent structure above the leaflets to ensure coronary artery blood perfusion, and a membrane-covered structure below the leaflets to reduce the possibility of paravalvular leakage. Perhaps we can assume that the mechanism of SFD related to HALT may be due to the special structure of TAVI implanted prosthesis-when thrombi in the sinus overpass the native leaflet outside the prosthetic from bottom to top, it may pass through the net-like structure of the prosthesis and then involve the implanted leaflet, which may need to be further confirmed by animal experiments, autopsy, or surgery. Identical to other studies [16, 29], SFD was most commonly involved in the non-coronary sinus (15/23, 65.2%) in our study. This may be because blood stays longer in the noncoronary sinus than in the other two sinuses. Moreover, blood flow at the base of the sinus of Valsalva was stagnant throughout the cardiac cycle [16, 29]. Therefore, altered hemodynamics in the sinuses may be a significant cause of SFD. In the future, studies on the mechanism of the formation of SFD are needed. Furthermore, randomized controlled trials (RCT) studies based on SFD as a potential target for anticoagulation therapy are needed,



Fig. 4 PFD: a, b Circumferential and asymmetric hypoattenuated filling defect (red arrow) within the prosthesis on the short- and long-axis view, (c) The luminal circumference of the prosthesis was encircled by soft tissue (red arrow). d No soft tissue coverage in the lumen of the prosthesis in another non-PFD patient

| Table 3 | Long-term | patient | outcomes |
|---------|-----------|---------|----------|
|---------|-----------|---------|----------|

|                          | AI I<br>(n = 61) | non-HALT<br>( <i>n</i> = 28) | HALT<br>(n = 33) | P <sub>1</sub> | non-SFD<br>( <i>n</i> = 38) | SFD<br>(n = 23) | P <sub>2</sub> | non-PFD<br>( <i>n</i> = 16) | PFD<br>(n = 45) | P <sub>3</sub> |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Primary outcomos         |                  |                              |                  |                |                             |                 |                |                             |                 |                |
| Major Adverse            | 21 (34.4)        | 11 (39.3)                    | 10 (30.3)        | 0.462          | 8 (21.1)                    | 13 (56.5)       | 0.005*         | 5 (31.3)                    | 16 (35.6)       | 0.756          |
| Cardiovascular Composite |                  |                              |                  |                |                             |                 |                |                             |                 |                |
| Outcome, n (%)           |                  |                              |                  |                |                             |                 |                |                             |                 |                |
| Secondary outcomes       |                  |                              |                  |                |                             |                 |                |                             |                 |                |
| Increased PGmean, n (%)  | 9 (14.8)         | 1 (3.6)                      | 8 (24.2)         | 0.031*         | 4 (10.5)                    | 5 (21.7)        | 0.278          | 4 (25.0)                    | 5 (11.1)        | 0.224          |
| PGmean, mmHg             | 9.0 [7.5]        | 8.0 [8.0]                    | 9.0 [8.0]        | 0.606          | 9.0 [7.0]                   | 8.0 [10.0]      | 0.994          | 7.5 [8.0]                   | 9.0 [9.0]       | 0.521          |
| ∆PGmean, mmHg            | -4.0 [6.0]       | -5.5 [6.0]                   | -3.0 [7.0]       | 0.064          | -3.0 [6.0]                  | -4.0 [6.0]      | 0.429          | -5.5 [9.0]                  | -3.0 [6.0]      | 0.505          |
| Decreased LVEF, n (%)    | 33 (54.1)        | 13 (46.4)                    | 20 (60.6)        | 0.268          | 19 (50.0)                   | 14 (60.9)       | 0.409          | 11 (68.8)                   | 22 (48.9)       | 0.171          |
| LVEF, %                  | 62.3 (7.3)       | 62.5 (8.2)                   | 62.3 (7.9)       | 0.643          | 62.9 (8.4)                  | 61.7 (7.6)      | 0.308          | 62.4 (11.7)                 | 62.2 (6.3)      | 0.857          |
| ΔLVEF, %                 | -0.4 (14.5)      | 1.7 (12.3)                   | -2.3 (17.4)      | 0.190          | 0.5 (10.7)                  | -1.1 (23.8)     | 0.970          | -3.4 (12.1)                 | 1.1 (14.3)      | 0.129          |

Values are median with interquartile range unless otherwise specified. P1 showed the difference between non-HALT and HALT. P2 showed the difference between non-SFD and SFD. P3 showed the difference between non-PFD and PFD

The major adverse cardiovascular composite outcome was a composite of stroke, cardiac re-hospitalization, and BVD

PASTE prosthetic-associated subclinical thrombotic events, HALT hypoattenuating leaflet thickening, SFD sinus filling defect, PFD prosthesis filling defect, PGmean mean transvalvular pressure gradient, LVEF left ventricular ejection fraction

which may provide important evidence to improve guidelines on the management of antithrombotic therapy after TAVI.

Our study showed that PFD was observed in 73.8% of patients through long-term follow-up, which was obviously higher than that of the surgical mechanical



**Fig. 5** Kaplan-Meier analysis of MACCO According to PASTE, (**b**) SFD (p = 0.020) was associated with a higher incidence of the MACCO, while (**a**) HALT (p = 0.746) and (**c**) PFD (p = 0.684) did not have a statistically significant increase in MACCO incidence. The MACCO was a composite of stroke, cardiac rehospitalization, and BVD. MACCO, major adverse cardiovascular composite outcome; PASTE, prosthetic-associated subclinical thrombotic events; HALT, hypoattenuating leaflet thickening; SFD, sinus filling defect; PFD, prosthesis filling defect

Table 4 Multivariable predictors of major adverse cardiovascular composite outcome

| Variable              |    | Leaflet evaluation: HALT |       |                      | Aortic sinus evaluation: SFD |         |        |                      | Prosthesis evaluation: PFD |         |       |                      |
|-----------------------|----|--------------------------|-------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------|-------|----------------------|
|                       | n  | β                        | Р     | HR (95% CI)          | n                            | β       | Р      | HR (95% CI)          | n                          | β       | Р     | HR (95% CI)          |
| PASTE                 |    |                          |       |                      |                              |         |        |                      |                            |         |       |                      |
| No                    | 28 | -0.473                   | 0.410 | 0.623 (0.202, 1.920) | 38                           | 1.054   | 0.048* | 2.870 (1.010, 8.154) | 16                         | -0.170  | 0.763 | 0.844 (0.281, 2.537) |
| Yes                   | 30 |                          |       |                      | 19                           |         |        |                      | 39                         |         |       |                      |
| Sex                   |    |                          |       |                      |                              |         |        |                      |                            |         |       |                      |
| Female                | 27 | -0.140                   | 0.785 | 0.870 (0.319, 2.371) | 27                           | 0.142   | 0.789  | 1.152 (0.408, 3.251) | 26                         | 0.246   | 0.668 | 1.279 (0.415, 3.937) |
| Male                  | 31 |                          |       |                      | 30                           |         |        |                      | 29                         |         |       |                      |
| Age (y)               | 58 | 0.045                    | 0.400 | 1.046 (0.942, 1.162) | 57                           | 0.032   | 0.521  | 1.302 (0.937, 1.136) | 55                         | 0.031   | 0.577 | 1.031 (0.926, 1.148) |
| BMI (kg/m²)           | 58 | 0.051                    | 0.478 | 1.052 (0.914, 1.211) | 57                           | 0.007   | 0.925  | 1.007 (0.873, 1.162) | 55                         | 0.035   | 0.673 | 1.036 (0.879, 1.221) |
| D-dimer (µg/L)        | 58 | < 0.001                  | 0.819 | 1.000 (0.999, 1.000) | 57                           | < 0.001 | 0.485  | 1.000 (0.999, 1.000) | 55                         | < 0.001 | 0.614 | 1.000 (0.998, 1.001) |
| Valve type            |    |                          |       |                      |                              |         |        |                      |                            |         |       |                      |
| Self-expandable       | 50 | 0.856                    | 0.245 | 2.353 (0.556, 9.953) | 48                           | 0.235   | 0.700  | 1.265 (0.384, 4.167) | 47                         | 0.020   | 0.976 | 1.021 (0.267, 3.902) |
| Mechanical-expandable | 8  |                          |       |                      | 9                            |         |        |                      | 8                          |         |       |                      |

The major adverse cardiovascular composite outcome was a composite of stroke, cardiac re-hospitalization, and BVD

PASTE prosthetic-associated subclinical thrombotic events, HALT hypoattenuating leaflet thickening, SFD sinus filling defect, PFD prosthesis filling defect

valve (19%) [18]. This may be because the structure of the transcatheter valve is longer than surgical mechanical valve, so the sub-valvular structure of the prosthesis has more contact area with the left ventricular outflow tract and the left ventricular wall, some of which may even be inserted into the left-ventricular wall, which makes it more likely to develop thrombosis or pannus in the prosthesis. It might be noted that we observed PFD after TAVI as an imaging manifestation, without identifying thrombus or pannus, which can be further studied in future research.

Furthermore, this study also focused on early PASTE. Previous studies on early HALT (30 days to 1 year) have shown that HALT was associated with mortality, TIA and stroke, structural valve degeneration, or symptomatic hemodynamic valve deterioration [9, 11–14]. Our data

showed that early SFD was also associated with long-term patient outcomes, as early SFD was associated with the MACCO (stroke, cardiac re-hospitalization, and BVD: p = 0.018) and cardiac dysfunction (LVEF: r = -0.50, p = 0.041;  $\Delta$ LVEF: r = -0.53, p = 0.030) (Fig. 6). It reminds us that we may need to pay attention to the impact of early PASTE, especially early SFD, and their impact on the long-term patient outcomes.

There is evidence that oral anticoagulation (OAC) or non-vitamin-K antagonist oral anticoagulant (NOAC) can effectively prevent and reverse leaflet thrombosis [8, 15, 20, 23, 30, 31], but may result in a higher risk of bleeding [15]. However, the current guidelines for the management of antithrombotic therapy in patients with valvular heart disease or undergoing TAVI do not recommend adjusting the antithrombotic strategy based

|                 | ΔPGmean (mmHg)          |                      |        | ΔLVEF (%) |                          |                      |        |      |  |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|------|--|
|                 | Un-standardized $\beta$ | Standardized $\beta$ | p      | VIF       | Un-standardized β        | Standardized $\beta$ | p      | VIF  |  |
| Age, y          | -0.028 (-0.283, 0.227)  | -0.03                | 0.828  | 1.37      | 0.055 (-0.597, 0.707)    | 0.02                 | 0.866  | 1.37 |  |
| Sex             | -2.440 (-4.746, -0.135) | -0.25                | 0.038* | 1.12      | 3.853 (-2.043, 9.748)    | 0.17                 | 0.195  | 1.12 |  |
| BMI, kg/m²      | 0.265 (-0.079, 0.610)   | 0.18                 | 0.128  | 1.12      | -0.402 (-1.282, 0.479)   | -0.12                | 0.364  | 1.12 |  |
| D-dimer, µg/L   | -0.000 (-0.001, 0.001)  | -0.01                | 0.957  | 1.33      | 0.002 (-0.000, 0.004)    | 0.23                 | 0.102  | 1.33 |  |
| Valve type      | 5.338 (1.681, 8.996)    | 0.38                 | 0.005* | 1.42      | -9.058 (-18.412, 0.296)  | -0.28                | 0.057  | 1.42 |  |
| HALT            | -0.487 (-1.257, 0.283)  | -0.19                | 0.210  | 1.87      | 0.534 (-1.435, 2.503)    | 0.09                 | 0.588  | 1.87 |  |
| SFD             | -1.052 (-3.146, 1.041)  | -0.17                | 0.317  | 2.38      | -5.603 (-10.957, -0.250) | -0.39                | 0.041* | 2.38 |  |
| PFD             | -2.700 (-6.845, 1.445)  | -0.24                | 0.197  | 2.81      | 7.624 (-2.976, 18.225)   | 0.29                 | 0.155  | 2.81 |  |
| Persistent HALT | 4.828 (0.349, 9.308)    | 0.38                 | 0.035* | 2.51      | 2.168 (-9.287, 13.624)   | 0.07                 | 0.705  | 2.51 |  |
| Persistent SFD  | 0.157 (-3.717, 4.032)   | 0.02                 | 0.935  | 2.64      | 7.510 (-2.398, 17.417)   | 0.30                 | 0.134  | 2.64 |  |
| Persistent PFD  | 2.863 (-0.996, 6.722)   | 0.28                 | 0.142  | 2.90      | -7.201 (-17.069, 2.667)  | -0.30                | 0.149  | 2.90 |  |

 Table 5
 The result of Multivariate linear regression analysis of Secondary outcomes

For Long-Term  $\Delta$ PGmean (mmHg), Durbin-Watson = 1.91, Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> = 0.28, Constant = -12.16. For Long-Term  $\Delta$ LVEF (%), Durbin-Watson = 1.66, Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> = 0.12, Constant = 13.64.  $\beta$  means standardized  $\beta$  value

PGmean mean transvalvular pressure gradient, LVEF left ventricular ejection fraction, BMI body mass index, HALT hypoattenuated leaflet thickening, SFD sinus filling defect, PFD prosthesis filling defect, VIF variance inflation factor \*p < 0.05



**Fig. 6** Early SFD and Long-Term LVEF/ $\Delta$ LVEF. Early SFD was associated with (**a**) Long-Term LVEF (p = 0.041) and (**b**) Long-Term  $\Delta$ LVEF (p = 0.030). TAVI, transcatheter aortic valve implantation; SFD, sinus filling defect; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction

on CT imaging findings of subclinical thrombotic events, until there is an associated hemodynamic deterioration [2, 32, 33]. Therefore, the subjects in our study did not adjust the antithrombotic strategy based on PASTE. The antithrombotic strategy for the patients in our cohort was based on standard antithrombotic management strategies for patients with heart valve disease. Details can be found in Table S3. However, as shown in this study, PASTE was associated with long-term adverse outcomes of the patients, especially the association between SFD and the MACCO in patients, suggesting that it may be a potential target for anticoagulation in patients after TAVI, which will require further studies.

In this study, although the patients were prospectively collected, the analysis was performed retrospectively, based on the long-term CTA findings and patient outcomes. As this study was an exploratory and observational study based on CTA findings, in order to preserve the integrity of the long-term CTA data to the extent possible, which caused a relatively large dropout rate. The final sample size of this study was relatively small and brought about a certain selection bias. Therefore, the generalizability of this study needed to be further validated with larger sample size studies and multi-center data. In addition, this study did not confirm by pathology whether the filling defect shown by cardiac CTA was a thrombus. However, our study showed that these cardiac CTA findings of PASTE do have some impact on the long-term outcomes of patients, and have clinical significance. Therefore, it is hoped that PASTE after TAVI will be given more attention, so that more studies can be developed around PASTE, including more

basic studies in fields such as pathology, biology, and fluid mechanics.

#### Conclusions

During the long-term follow-up of more than 5 years, PASTE including HALT, SFD, and PFD were found in cardiac CTA after TAVI. PASTE were associated with longterm major adverse outcomes, bioprosthetic hemodynamics deterioration, and cardiac dysfunction. In particular, SFD was a major predictor of long-term major adverse outcomes in patients after TAVI, and therefore it may be a potential anticoagulation target in patients after TAVI.

#### Abbreviations

| BVD    | Bioprosthetic valve dysfunction                     |
|--------|---|
| CTA    | Computed tomography angiography                     |
| HALT   | Hypoattenuated leaflet thickening                   |
| LVEF   | Left ventricular ejection fraction                  |
| MACCO  | Major adverse cardiovascular composite outcome      |
| PASTE  | Prosthetic-associated subclinical thrombotic events |
| PFD    | Prosthesis filling defect                           |
| PGmean | Mean pressure gradient                              |
| SFD    | Sinus filling defect                                |
| TAVI   | Transcatheter aortic valve implantation             |

#### Supplementary information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13244-024-01681-0.

Electronic Supplementary Material

#### Author contributions

We certify that we have participated sufficiently in this work to take public responsibility for the appropriateness of the experimental design and method, and the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of the data. We also ensured that all of the authors listed had sufficient contributions to the conception and design, execution, or analysis and interpretation of data, and have reviewed and agreed with the content of the article. Since Drs. QZ, JW, and QZ together did a great deal of work on the experimental design, data analysis, and manuscript writing of this study, we consider them to have contributed equally to the article. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the Medical Health Science and the Technology Project of Zhejiang Provincial Health Commission (2023RC172).

#### Data availability

The data and material underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The TORCH (Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement Single Center Registry in Chinese Population) registry (NCT02803294) is a single-center prospective cohort study in the Chinese population. The study was approved by the medical ethics committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University and carried out according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Consent for publication

All patients provided written informed consent for TAVI and the use of anonymous clinical, procedural, and follow-up data for research.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, Second Affiliated Hospital Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, Second Affiliated Hospital Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China. <sup>3</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>4</sup>Department of Echocardiography, Second Affiliated Hospital Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China. <sup>5</sup>Department of Nursing, Second Affiliated Hospital Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China. <sup>6</sup>Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China.

Received: 12 March 2024 Accepted: 22 March 2024 Published online: 30 May 2024

#### References

- Jorgensen TH, Thyregod HGH, Ihlemann N et al (2021) Eight-year outcomes for patients with aortic valve stenosis at low surgical risk randomized to transcatheter vs. surgical aortic valve replacement. Eur Heart J 42:2912–2919
- Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO et al (2021) 2020 ACC/AHA guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation 143:e35–e71
- Kolte D, Bhardwaj B, Lu M et al (2022) Association between early left ventricular ejection fraction improvement after transcatheter aortic valve replacement and 5-year clinical outcomes. JAMA Cardiol 7:934–944
- Popma JJ, Deeb GM, Yakubov SJ et al (2019) Transcatheter aortic-valve replacement with a self-expanding valve in low-risk patients. N Engl J Med 380:1706–1715
- Mack MJ, Leon MB, Thourani VH et al (2019) Transcatheter aortic-valve replacement with a balloon-expandable valve in low-risk patients. N Engl J Med 380:1695–1705
- Dayan V, Gomes WJ (2022) The new ESC/EACTS recommendations for transcatheter aortic valve implantation go too far. Eur Heart J 43:2753–2755
- Lee CH, Inohara T, Hayashida K, Park DW (2021) Transcatheter aortic valve replacement in asia: present status and future perspectives. JACC Asia 1:279–293
- Genereux P, Piazza N, Varc-3 Writing, C et al (2021) Valve Academic Research Consortium 3: updated endpoint definitions for aortic valve clinical research. Eur Heart J 42:1825–1857
- Garcia S, Fukui M, Dworak MW et al (2022) Clinical impact of hypoattenuating leaflet thickening after transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 15:e011480
- Blanke P, Weir-McCall JR, Achenbach S et al (2019) Computed tomography imaging in the context of transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI)/transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR): an expert consensus document of the society of cardiovascular computed tomography. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 12:1–24
- 11. Jiaqi Fan XF, Chunhui L, Gangjie Z et al (2020) Brain injury after transcatheter replacement of bicuspid versus tricuspid aortic valves. J Am Coll Cardiol 76:2579–2590
- 12. Brown RA, Khanji MY, Mullen M, Patel RS, Bhattacharyya S (2020) Hypoattenuated leaflet thickening associated with symptomatic thrombotic prosthetic valve obstruction: early complication following transcatheter aortic valve implantation. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 21:115
- Auffret V, Guedeney P, Leurent G, Didier R (2023) Antithrombotic after TAVR: no treatment, no problem? JACC Cardiovasc Interv 16:92–93
- Cahill TJ, Kirtane AJ, Leon M, Kodali SK (2022) Subclinical leaflet thrombosis and anticoagulation after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a review. JAMA Cardiol 7:866–872

- De Backer O, Dangas GD, Jilaihawi H et al (2020) Reduced leaflet motion after transcatheter aortic-valve replacement. N Engl J Med 382:130–139
- Lim SJ, Koo HJ, Jung SC et al (2020) Sinus of Valsalva thrombosis detected on computed tomography after transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Korean Circ J 50:572–582
- Kim JY, Suh YJ, Han K, Kim YJ, Choi BW (2019) Diagnostic value of advanced imaging modalities for the detection and differentiation of prosthetic valve obstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 12:2182–2192
- Kichang H, Dong HY, So YS et al (2015) Subprosthetic pannus after aortic valve replacement surgery-cardiac CT findings and clinical features. Radiology 276:724–731
- Koo HJ, Yang DH, Kang JW et al (2013) Demonstration of prosthetic aortic valve dehiscence in a patient with noninfectious aortitis by multimodality imaging: findings of echocardiography and computed tomography. Circulation 128:759–761
- Chakravarty T, Søndergaard L, Friedman J et al (2017) Subclinical leaflet thrombosis in surgical and transcatheter bioprosthetic aortic valves: an observational study. Lancet 389:2383–2392
- Sondergaard L, De Backer O, Kofoed KF et al (2017) Natural history of subclinical leaflet thrombosis affecting motion in bioprosthetic aortic valves. Eur Heart J 38:2201–2207
- Jilaihawi H, Asch FM, Manasse E et al (2017) Systematic CT methodology for the evaluation of subclinical leaflet thrombosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 10:461–470
- Makkar RR, Blanke P, Leipsic J et al (2020) Subclinical leaflet thrombosis in transcatheter and surgical bioprosthetic valves: PARTNER 3 cardiac computed tomography substudy. J Am Coll Cardiol 75:3003–3015
- 24. Douglas PS, American Society of Echocardiography S, American College of Cardiology F et al (2009) Echocardiographic imaging in clinical trials: American Society of Echocardiography Standards for echocardiography core laboratories: endorsed by the American College of Cardiology Foundation. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 22:755–765

- 25. Ng ACT, Holmes DR, Mack MJ et al (2020) Leaflet immobility and thrombosis in transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Eur Heart J 41:3184–3197
- 26. Hein M, Schoechlin S, Schulz U et al (2022) Long-term follow-up of hypoattenuated leaflet thickening after transcatheter aortic valve replacement. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 15:1113–1122
- 27. Nicolas J, Dangas G (2022) Hypoattenuated leaflet thickening after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: additional data, yet still many unanswered questions. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 15:e011828
- Rashid HN, Rajani R, Leipsic J et al (2023) Computed tomography imaging for subclinical leaflet thrombosis following surgical and transcatheter aortic valve replacement. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 17:2–10
- Ducci A, Pirisi F, Tzamtzis S, Burriesci G (2016) Transcatheter aortic valves produce unphysiological flows which may contribute to thromboembolic events: an in-vitro study. J Biomech 49:4080–4089
- Bhogal S, Waksman R, Gordon P et al (2023) Subclinical leaflet thrombosis and antithrombotic therapy post-TAVI: an LRT substudy. Int J Cardiol 371:305–311
- Bogyi M, Schernthaner RE, Loewe C et al (2021) Subclinical leaflet thrombosis after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a meta-analysis. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 14:2643–2656
- 32. ten Berg J, Sibbing D, Rocca B et al (2021) Management of antithrombotic therapy in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation: a consensus document of the ESC Working Group on Thrombosis and the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI), in collaboration with the ESC Council on Valvular Heart Disease. Eur Heart J 42:2265–2269
- Vahanian A, Beyersdorf F, Praz F et al (2022) 2021 ESC/EACTS guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. Eur Heart J 43:561–632

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.